



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,780	11/26/2003	Richard T. Raines	023880-6	5086
22204	7590	08/30/2006	EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ZURITA, JAMES H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/721,780

Applicant(s)

RAINES ET AL.

Examiner

James H. Zurita

Art Unit

3625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/26/2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-125 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-125 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION*****Election/Restrictions***

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required (35 U.S.C. 121):

Group	CLAIMS	CLASS
I	<p><u>Claims 1-19</u>, directed to a vehicle history information <b>system</b> adapted to generate price adjustment values associated with vehicle history attributes</p> <p><u>Claims 20-51</u>, directed to a <b>method</b> for generating price adjustments associated with vehicle history attributes</p> <p><u>Claims 107-112</u>, directed to a computer readable <b>medium</b> for generating price adjustments associated with vehicle history attributes</p>	705, subclass 37
II	<p><u>Claims 52-74</u>, directed to a <b>method</b> for adjusting a price of a used vehicle</p> <p><u>Claims 75-92</u>, directed to a <b>system</b> for adjusting a price of a used vehicle</p> <p><u>Claims 113-118</u>, directed to a computer readable <b>medium</b> for adjusting a price of a used vehicle.</p>	705, subclass 37
III	<p><u>Claims 93-96</u>, directed to a <b>method</b> for computing price adjustment values associated with vehicle history attributes.</p> <p><u>Claims 100-103</u>, directed to a <b>system</b> for computing price adjustment values associated with vehicle history attributes.</p> <p><u>Claims 119-122</u>, directed to a computer readable <b>medium</b> for computing price adjustment values associated with vehicle history.</p>	705, subclass 37
IV	<p><u>Claims 97-99</u>, directed to a <b>method</b> for computing price adjustment values associated with vehicle history attributes.</p> <p><u>Claims 104-106</u>, directed to a <b>system</b> for calculating price adjustment values associated with vehicle history attributes.</p> <p><u>Claims 123-125</u>, directed to a computer readable <b>medium</b> for computing price adjustment values associated with vehicle history.</p>	705, subclass 37

**Inventions I and II** are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as:

Art Unit: 3625

*analyzing* whether sale transactions related to said plurality of transaction records occurred with knowledge by buyers of said plurality of vehicles as to vehicle history attributes associated with said plurality of vehicles;

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and the search for one is not required for the other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

**Inventions I and III** are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as:

*analyzing* whether sale transactions related to said plurality of transaction records occurred with knowledge by buyers of said plurality of vehicles as to vehicle history attributes associated with said plurality of vehicles;

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and the search for one is not required for the other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

**Inventions I and IV** are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as:

obtaining a plurality of vehicle history datasets relating to a plurality of vehicles, each dataset having vehicle history attributes regarding a particular vehicle;

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent

Art Unit: 3625

subject matter and the search for one is not required for the other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

**Inventions II and III** are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as:

**determining a price *differential*** between a price of a type of vehicle when a plurality of vehicle history attributes associated with said type of vehicle are known to a vehicle buyer, and a price of said type of vehicle when vehicle history attributes associated with said type of vehicle are not known to a vehicle buyer;

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and the search for one is not required for the other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

**Inventions II and IV** are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as:

***classifying*** said plurality of transaction records based on respective buyer's knowledge of said vehicle history attributes; and

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and the search for one is not required for the other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3625

**Inventions III and IV** are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as:

**determining a price *differential*** between a price of a type of vehicle when a plurality of vehicle history attributes associated with said type of vehicle are known to a vehicle buyer, and a price of said type of vehicle when vehicle history attributes associated with said type of vehicle are not known to a vehicle buyer;

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and the search for one is not required for the other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence

Art Unit: 3625

or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

**Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James H. Zurita whose telephone number is 571-272-6766. The examiner can normally be reached on 8a-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**James Zurita**  
**Primary Examiner**  
**Art Unit 3625**  
28 August 2006

*James Zurita*  
*Primary Examiner*